

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. 2:22-cv-02692-RGK-PLA

Date April 29, 2022

Title Stephanie Rubin et al v. Uber Technologies et al

Present: The Honorable R. GARY KLAUSNER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Holidae Crawford

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None appearing

None appearing

Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause re Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 4(m). Generally, defendants must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 12(a)(1).

In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing by **May 4, 2022** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution as to certain defendant/s.

Alternatively, the Court will consider the following a satisfactory response to the Order to Show Cause:

- ☐ Proof of service of summons and operative complaint by _____ as to:
[List unserved defendants]
- ☒ Response to the Complaint by **within three days of this order** by the following defendant(s):
Uber Technologies Inc, Rasier-CA, LLC, Carlos Ivan Silva Velasquez, and

-or-
- ☒ **If a defendant listed above does not respond by May 4, 2022**, Plaintiff's application for entry of default by clerk pursuant to Rule 55a of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure by **May 6, 2022**.
- ☐ Plaintiff's motion for default judgment pursuant to Rule 55b of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to defendant(s):
[List defendants]

If a satisfactory response is not timely filed, the matter or the listed defendants will be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument.